UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,376	10/21/2005	Timo Laakso	290.1128USN	2642
33369 7590 10/07/2008 FASTH LAW OFFICES (ROLF FASTH) 26 PINECREST PLAZA, SUITE 2			EXAMINER	
			JUNG, MIN	
SOUTHERN PINES, NC 28387-4301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/520,376	LAAKSO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Min Jung	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 C	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,7,10-19,21,23,24 and 27-34</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>3,5,8,9,20,22,25 and 26</u> is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. s/are rejected. ed to.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	or.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/520,376 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 9, 18, 19, 24, and 30 are objected to because of the following informalities: The words "sending", "service", "situations", "signals", and "controlling" are improperly spelled with hyphens in the middle of the words. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 10-19, 21, 23, 24, and 27-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takatori et al., US 6,229,855 (Takatori).

Takatori teaches adaptive transmitter for digital transmission wherein the power and/or frequency output is controlled to minimize crosstalk.

Regarding claims 1 and 18, Takatori teaches a method for controlling a transmission power level in a digital subscriber line, characterized in that transmission power levels of several digital subscriber lines are controlled simultaneously by the method comprising the steps of: measuring crosstalk properties for each subscriber line in different situations (Takatori measures line loss, noise margin at the receive side

Art Unit: 2616

(near-end), and the noise margin at the other side (far-end); col. 4, lines 36-41, and col. 5, lines 4-12, these measurements are measured in power level (dB) and are directed related to actual crosstalk); organizing the crosstalk properties of the different situations (Tables 1 and 2); and controlling the transmission power levels using the organized crosstalk properties (col. 5, lines 13-37, col. 7, lines 62-67, and Tables 1 and 2). What Takatori fails to teach is the estimating of crosstalk values from the measured crosstalk properties. Takatori, however, teaches evaluating the signal characteristics based mainly on the cable losses (crosstalk properties), to determine SNR (see col. 4, lines 44-52, and col. 5, lines 4-8). It is well known that SNR is directly related to crosstalk in that crosstalk contributes to SNR. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Takatori to take an extra step of estimating a crosstalk value from the measurements of the noise margin and the line loss to directly apply the crosstalk value in controlling the power level.

Regarding claims 2 and 19, and 4 and 21, Takatori teaches the preestablished Tables 1 and 2 showing the measured crosstalk properties measured in dB.

Regarding claims 6 and 23, Takatori fails to specifically teach using matrices for organizing the crosstalk values. However, the showing of the information in tables forms (Table1 and 2) suggests that the table can be put in a matrix as matrices are well known and widely used to put data in an organized form. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize matrices when implementing the teaching of Takatori.

Regarding claims 7 and 24, Takatori teaches that SNR limitations are taken into account when controlling the transmission power level (col. 7, lines 13-52).

Page 4

Regarding claims 10 and 27, Takatori teaches making the measurements offline (col. 4, lines 36-41 and Tables 1 and 2).

Regarding claims 11 and 28, Takatori fails to specifically teach making the measurements on-line. However, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the measurements on-line because such on-line measurements are well known scheme, and it would be one of the choices that the system designer would make – by choosing to make the measurements on-line, the performance overall will be more cluttered while providing more dynamic option for the measurement.

Regarding claims 12 and 29, Takatori fails to specifically mention VDSL lines.

Takatori, however, teaches HDSL. VDSL and HDSL are terms devised to provide the same concept - High Speed Digital Subscriber Loop, with VDSL standing for Very High Speed Digital Subscriber Loop. Thus, Takatori's teaching can readily embrace VDSL, and therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement Takatori's teaching using VDSL.

Regarding claims 13 and 30, Takatori teaches making measurements in advance, before controlling the transmission powers of the lines (col. 4, lines 36-41, and Tables 1 and 2).

Regarding claims 14, 15, 31, and 32, Takatori fails to specifically teach estimating crosstalk values including crosstalk power level values and crosstalk

Art Unit: 2616

coefficient values. However, Takatori provides noise margin at he receive side (near-end), noise margin at the other side (far-end), line loss, and SNR. These measurements in all the lines can readily provide the crosstalk values desired. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to take an extra step using the gathered values to determine crosstalk power level values and crosstalk coefficient values.

Regarding claims 16, 17, 33, and 34, Takatori teaches making measurements from a downstream signal, and upstream signal (cols. 5 and 6).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 5, 8, 9, 20, 22, 25, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Bremer et al. patent, the Getchell patent, the Boroditsky et al. patent, the Niedereder patent, the Lofland et al. patent, the Ulanskas et al. PG Pub., the Ginis et al. patent, the Gaikwad et al. patent, and the Terry PG Pub., are cited for further references.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,376 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Min Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-3127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.